

The Deep State Connection to the Obama Birth Certificate Scandal

“The only people who don't want to disclose the truth are people with something to hide.”

– Barack Obama (2010)¹

Please believe me when I tell you this...

America has probably never had a president with so much to hide as does Barack Obama. **Nor, has it ever had a president who has worked so hard at hiding it.**

The vast majority of America's citizens have never laid their eyes on the information you will see over the next few minutes of reading. Furthermore, every major claim made in this chapter is backed by contextually referenced material, largely gleaned from the mainstream leftist media itself. They often convict themselves with their own words, without even realizing it.

Prepare yourself. If you love America's constitutional republic, what follows will not be easy for you...

Barack Obama, during both his first bid for the White House, and in the ensuing years after gaining that office, eventually put the United States through what seemed to be a never-ending gyration of legal battles, obfuscation, doublespeak, and misdirection campaigns. Most of it was orchestrated in order to effectively muddy the waters concerning his genuine *birth narrative*.

Oh, I know. You've probably been convinced that Obama's birth story was “officially” determined to be a “settled issue” long ago. However,

as you will soon discover for yourself, nothing could be farther from the truth. You've been duped by the fake news industry.

The most tangible part of that overall narrative revolved around the legitimacy of Obama's purported birth certificate. The attempted verification of that document ultimately became the laser focus of a well-known five-year forensic criminal investigation of the now infamous long form Certificate of Birth of Barack Obama. That investigation was initiated by former Sheriff Joe Arpaio of the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office in Phoenix, Arizona.

Barack Obama's own administration placed that particular document on the White House website in April 2011 in the form of a digital PDF photocopy – of *something*.² We now know, beyond any doubt, that the specific document the PDF reproduction claimed to represent, does not actually exist in any official and legal format – anywhere. It *can't* exist, because the PDF is a proven fraudulent and fabricated document.³

That 2011 PDF certificate was made public by Obama's administration in a harried attempt to squelch the ever-continuing birth narrative firestorm. The melee had been sparked off during Obama's *first run* for office in 2007-2008. By late 2008 his administration had displayed on the Internet a Hawaii *short form* Certificate of Birth as the only evidence "proving" he had truly been born in Hawaii. But that document only fired up the growing controversy. It was riddled with "issues."

As former CNN commentator Lou Dobbs phrased the matter on his nationally syndicated radio program, just after Obama took office in his first term "...this peculiar little document [has] some issues. We have a certification of live birth that doesn't have a signature or an attestation of *any kind* attached to it"⁴

Dobbs was merely echoing the mounting national angst concerning the matter. And he was eventually fired from CNN for doing so.⁵ However, much to the consternation of the left, as time went on Obama's true birth narrative became an issue that simply refused to die. Even retired generals,

and a major university law professor, **were weighing in on the subject - and, for very good reasons, as you'll soon discover.**

It was for these widely publicized concerns that by late 2010, a billionaire real-estate mogul named *Donald Trump* had also joined the fray. Using the mainstream media as his sounding board, Trump ramped-up the ever-growing pressure for then-president Barack Obama to produce a legitimate long form birth certificate. He challenged Obama to produce something that officially identified his hospital of birth, the doctor's name, and the parent's names.⁶

And so it was that Donald Trump could eventually take credit for pressuring a sitting U.S. President to “put up – or shut up” regarding his birth narrative.⁷ And Obama had finally acquiesced. Furthermore, the *deep state*⁸ was determined to eventually make Trump pay for his deed. But that would come later.

The Big Lie

“For a long time now, there's been too much secrecy in this city. This administration stands on the side not of those who seek to withhold information but with those who seek it to be known.”

– Barack Obama (2009)⁹

It wasn't long after the Obama long form PDF birth certificate was finally posted, that growing national scrutiny was calling attention to numerous “problems” within that new photocopy file as well. Very early on, it appeared to a number of creditable people that the document had been manipulated, and maybe even fabricated – from scratch. The Internet burst ablaze with renewed and invigorated conspiracy theories. Oddly, the match had been lit by the Obama administration itself – and gladly egged on by Donald Trump.

The thought of something this reprehensibly immense involving America's brand new and first African-American president was so surreal that it could not even be imagined by most of the country's populace.

Precisely because of that pervasive and powerful psychological influence, the Obama birth certificate scandal would soon become America's *Big Lie*.¹⁰ A large swathe of the American public was being effectively brainwashed, largely through the vehicle of *fake news*.¹¹ Adolf would have been proud.

Enter Sheriff Joe Arpaio

A striking consequence of this strange new national psychosis would soon become embarrassingly apparent. Not a single bonafide law enforcement agency in the world dared to formally examine the PDF from a purely investigative and forensic perspective. Until, that is, Sheriff Joe Arpaio – *America's Toughest Sheriff*¹² got involved in August 2011.

I became personally involved in this case sometime around the first of 2012, by serving as a media outlet for Sheriff Arpaio's investigation. I had been the host for a popular talk radio program on a twenty-five-thousand watt Gulf Coast AM News/Talk station, for more than a decade. During that time, I had the sheriff and his lead investigator in the case on my show a number of times.

By January 2016, I flew to Phoenix, Arizona, to meet with Sheriff Joe Arpaio. Sheriff Arpaio deputized me in a "Special Deputy" status, as we all knew the official MCSO investigation would later that year be coming to a conclusion. Sheriff Arpaio wanted to establish an official chain of "information exchange" with me so that there might be accountability between us all as the case was wrapping up.

Not only had I been a reliable media outlet during the investigation, but I had also been, in the 1970s and 80s, a decorated deputy sheriff in two different Florida sheriff's offices, with criminal investigative experience as well. My Arpaio-linked deputation did not involve direct investigative input in the Obama fraud case, nor have I represented myself in that way. However, my former direct law enforcement experience enabled Sheriff Arpaio to trust me with a high level of information exchange in the case.

And so it was that on December 15, 2016, in Phoenix, Arizona, at their third¹³ and final press conference on the Obama PDF issue, after five long years of investigating, Sheriff Arpaio revealed the culmination of the investigation's stunning findings.¹⁴ The evidence they presented was overwhelming. I was present at that news conference. We'll examine those findings a little later on in this chapter.

Didn't Fit the Narrative

The discoveries of the obvious birth certificate criminal fraud that were revealed that day simply didn't fit the agreed upon narrative of the left. It was a brazenly deceitful tale they had been crafting, like a giant corporate science fair project, for eight long Obama-term years. In truth, the media didn't quite know how to "spin" the unarguable hard evidence they had just witnessed.¹⁵ However, a few of the mainstream news reporters who saw it live appeared to have been absolutely stunned.¹⁶

Nevertheless, instead of faithfully reporting what they had been shown, the mainstream national media simply ignored the forensic details of that report altogether - regardless of the fact that it was the most explosive allegation leveled against a sitting president in America's history. *Someone had committed a series of serious federal crimes in order to digitally fabricate a birth identification record for America's Commander in Chief.*

Before we get to those brutally condemning forensic discoveries, let's first consider the backdrop to the buildup of this entire scenario. Once you are aware of the foundational elements in the matter, the context of the criminal investigation and its findings will dramatically add to your understanding of what is happening in today's political realm in America. It will also shed light on the level of the deep state's involvement in the entire affair. *Trust me.*

To keep this narrative moving in a straight and coherent line, I have arranged the information around twelve strategic fact points.

Fact #1: It All Started with the Clinton Democrats

I know. I know. Many argue that it has already been proven that Hillary had “nothing to do with the birther narrative.” However, as you will soon see, that mantra is simply not true. It is yet another fabrication of a deceitful storyline, skillfully constructed by the fake news. Now, let’s forensically deconstruct that politically expedient fairytale.

The Plan

The phenomenon of the Obama eligibility question took shape during the 2008 Democratic primary – a truth that makes perfect political sense. It was initially moved along by the Hillary Clinton campaign, and some of her top operatives, along with many of her most loyal supporters across the nation.

The Clinton scheme had been to totally discredit the quickly rising new star, Barack Obama, and to thus secure Hillary as the Democrat frontrunner in the 2008 election. This ploy eventually became such a well-known fact that by 2015 even the wildly left-wing *Politifact* had to bluntly admit the fact.

It’s an **interesting bit of history** that **the birther movement** appears to have ***begun with Democrats supporting Clinton and opposing Obama.***¹⁷ (Emphasis added)

CNN admitted the same thing concerning the question of Hillary’s 2007 involvement in pushing the Obama identity narrative:

Bottom line: Clinton stoked questions about Obama’s identity.¹⁸ (Emphasis added)

Clinton Panic Breeds the Narrative

The truth was, that in the face of Obama’s quickly growing popularity, Hillary could feel her presidential “entitlement coronation” rapidly slipping from her grips. And, of all things, it was happening at the hands of a mere one-term, relatively unknown, African-American Senator from Illinois – the newest *dream candidate*. Even then-Senator Joe Biden, later to become Obama’s vice president, weighed in on the storybook status of Obama’s rise in the polls:

I mean, you got the first mainstream African-American who is articulate and bright and clean and a nice-looking guy," Biden said. "I mean, that's a storybook, man."¹⁹

To top it all off, Barack Obama's own publicist, *Acton & Dystel*,²⁰ for a full seventeen years (1991-2007) had ubiquitously distributed Obama's bio narrative through various national media outlets and publicist networks. They categorically claimed that Obama had been born in ...wait for it... *Kenya*!

Barack Obama, the first African-American president of the Harvard Law Review, ***was born in Kenya*** and raised in Indonesia and Hawaii.²¹

Coincidentally, the only time *Acton & Dystel* even considered removing the unabashed claim of Obama's "Kenyan birth" from their literature was when he commenced his run for the office of president. This was right about the same time Hillary was making certain that Obama's own life's story was becoming a question of constitutional eligibility. At that important juncture, as soon as the narrative made national headlines, *Acton and Dystel* declared the Kenyan birth declaration to be a mere (*seventeen-year*) editor's mistake. But the question was still out there, sweeping the national political scene. And, apparently, Hillary was just fine with that. By that time, the public was sufficiently confused in the matter. And that's just what she was counting on.²²

So...Hillary Clinton, in fact, began to publicly question Obama's "American roots," just as she had been advised to do by her top campaign officials.²³ Dutifully, Hillary pounced on the narrative like a Serengeti lion stalking a wildebeest in the tall grass of the African plains.

Hillary's Top Advisors Lead the Way

It is now a profusely documented fact that the very crux of the birther narrative, and the campaign agenda surrounding that narrative, was originally *introduced to the masses* by Hillary's own top campaign advisors,

Sidney Blumenthal²⁴ and Mark Penn.²⁵ This fact is one that the media is still loathe to repeat.

In fact, Mark Penn even wrote a *campaign strategy memo* urging Hillary to continually question Obama's "lack of American roots" because it "could hold him back." That document still exists in official archives.²⁶

Furthermore, it was the second-largest newspaper corporation in the nation - the liberally biased *McClatchy Company*²⁷ - that was unequivocally involved in helping to spread that Clinton-campaign-planned birther message:

James Asher,²⁸ [Pulitzer Prize winning investigative journalist] the former Washington bureau chief of McClatchy, [and current D.C. bureau chief of the Associated Press] has publicly claimed that **Clinton aide and confidant [Sidney Blumenthal] was spreading the rumor that Barack Obama was born in Kenya and therefore not eligible** to be president.

Blumenthal [Hillary's chief campaign advisor] actually admits he was pressuring McClatchy to investigate rumors related to Obama's family in Kenya but *claims he never went so far as to push the dreaded birther rumor.*

A second McClatchy reporter in Kenya, however, confirms he was asked to look into the birther issue, and everyone concedes Asher and Blumenthal were in contact.²⁹
[Emphasis and brackets added]

MSNBC Goes Birther

Even MSNBC's own Chris Matthews reported Hillary's stoking of the original birther movement. All the way back in December of 2007, during Obama's first White House run, Matthews had expressed his unease with

the increasingly public and incendiary Hillary-speak. He voiced his concern on a live episode of *Hardball with Chris Matthews*:

MATTHEWS: So, what is [Hillary] up to here? Is she pushing how great [Obama] is for having been *born in Indonesia*, or what, or simply reminding everybody about his background, his Islamic background?³⁰ (Emphasis and brackets added)

Matthews' outrage serves as documented evidence that Hillary was in fact spreading, or unquestionably perceived to be spreading, the birther narrative in late 2007. This is a fact that, even today, the Hillary camp tries to cover up and deny.

MSNBC - Still Going Strong in 2016

However, the adamant claim that Hillary was the one who started “the whole thing” was *still* being promoted by MSNBC representatives during her run against Republican candidate Donald Trump in 2016.

In a scandalous live MSNBC morning show Joe Scarborough, Mika Brzezinski, and John Heilemann dared to spill the beans once again. The three of them became emotionally vocal about their perception of the matter on an episode of *Morning Joe* led by Joe Scarborough himself.

SCARBOROUGH: “I guess we have to get our digs in on Hillary Clinton here, because ... [the birther narrative] all started with her and her campaign – passing things around in the Democratic Party. ... *It started with Hillary Clinton*, and it was spread by the Clinton Team ... *That is the truth.*”

BRZEZINSKI: ““[She did it] on 60 Minutes....We’re just telling the truth. Sorry.”

HEILEMANN: “It *was* the case. ... I’m *affirming* the Scarborough-Brzezinski assertion ...”³¹ (Brackets and emphasis added)

60 Minutes Catches Hillary in Doublespeak

Not to mention Hillary's own dubious comments that she made on that Brzezinski-referenced CBS *60 Minutes* interview. In that interview, when asked if she really thought Obama was a Muslim, Hillary first appeared to sincerely insist that she *did not think* Obama was a Muslim. But then she quickly qualified her statement with the words -"as far as I know." Then, she further tempered her answer by smugly proclaiming, "He is whatever he says he is."

Leftist Media Reacts To Hillary

The media were incensed with Hillary's coyness and what they considered to be her oh-so-obvious doublespeak – a political maneuver for which she had become quite renowned. They even characterized her interview responses as "the sleaziest moment of the campaign."³²

Media Matters reported the fracas:

Journalists at NBC, MSNBC, The New York Times, Chicago Sun-Times, Time, The New Yorker, and The Washington Post, among others, declared her response had been wholly deficient. Worse, ***Clinton's answer simply confirmed that she was running a "slimy," "nasty" contest.*** It was a "galling" comment; ***"the sleaziest moment of the campaign."***³³ (Emphasis added)

The only fairly frontline media voice that immediately came to Hillary's defense regarding that interview - desperately trying to spin her answers into a more positive meaning - was *Media Matters*. That often-dubious publication is an unquestionably hard-left media site, one that just happened to have been founded by a longtime Hillary and Bill Clinton family friend David Brock,³⁴ and liberally funded by the far-left billionaire George Soros.³⁵ Gee. How convenient for Hillary.

Copyright by Carl Gallups & Defender Publishing

Think of it. What we have documented thus far is that Hillary, Blumenthal, Penn, a number of other hardcore Hillary supporters; as well as the MSNBC network representatives Chris Matthews, Scarborough, Brzezinski, Heilemann, and other bonafide leftist, mainstream sources (the McClatchy Company – at least) either fueled the original birther movement, or unquestionably perceived that Hillary herself was responsible for it. And it was all predicated on the coattails of Obama’s own publicity literature. A narrative that had been internationally distributed for almost two decades previously – clearly making Obama himself the very first “birther.” This is a very inconvenient truth for the left – but, it is documented truth nonetheless.

Believe it or not, there’s still more. Much more...

A Democrat Hawaii Governor

In 2011, just two years into Obama’s first term as president, newly elected Democrat Governor of Hawaii, Neil Abercrombie, made an unbelievably bold inaugural promise. He claimed that he would end the birther narrative “once and for all.”

In yet another stunning *birther-move* by a well-known Democrat, Abercrombie vowed to publicly produce the Obama Hawaii birth certificate. Certainly, Obama had to have cringed when he heard the news.³⁶

Shortly after making his claim, Abercrombie realized he had embarrassed himself. He was flatly unable to make good on his pugnacious promise. To make matters even worse, Abercrombie had been a longtime friend of the Obama family, and later admitted he *had not* first contacted the White House about his campaign vow before attempting to produce the highly disputed records.³⁷

Politifact described the disarray:

The Associated Press reported that Abercrombie’s office had ***ended its effort to make public more information about***

Obama's birth. ... The state's attorney general had told the governor that *he can't disclose birth documentation without the person's consent.* "There is nothing more that Gov. Abercrombie can do within the law to produce a document," Abercrombie spokeswoman Donalyn Dela Cruz said.³⁸

Wait a minute!

So, the American public was being asked to believe that even the Democrat Governor of Hawaii was not “allowed” to produce the hardcore evidence he had personally promised to the electorate? Were we really to understand that even the duly-elected constitutional and chief executive officer of the State of Hawaii had *no access whatsoever* to the original document? Not even to verify its literal presence within the Hawaiian archives? And, were we being asked to believe even his personal friends, Barack Obama, whom Abercrombie was trying to exonerate, would not give the Governor of Hawaii permission to access the files? How odd. How improbable. How inconceivable. *Yet - how deliciously convenient.* So, dutifully, the mainstream media declared the matter to be “over.”

Meanwhile, during the fallout of Abercrombie's faux pas, *Politifact* reported yet another hugely embarrassing chapter to the relentlessly ongoing saga. Coming from a complete left-field foul hit, the spin-control almost got completely out of hand:

On Minnesota's KQRS-FM radio Jan. 20, 2011 *Hollywood reporter* Mike Evans, claiming to be *a longtime friend of Abercrombie's*, said *the governor had told him* that he had in fact searched ‘everywhere’ at Hawaii hospitals and that *‘there is no Barack Obama birth certificate in Hawaii. Absolutely no proof at all that he was born in Hawaii.’*”³⁹
(Emphasis added)

Doubling down – and on that very same day – the inconveniently loose-lipped Mike Evans made his earthshattering claim yet again on Austin's -

KLBJ-AM during another live interview. A mere six days later, however, very convenient to the Obama administration, Evans summarily had a “change of heart” and literally walked back on his entire claim. Evans even changed and denied the foundation of his original narrative altogether. The surreal episode appeared as if a Tinseltown movie producer had scripted the narrative especially for this Hollywood reporter – and he had merely “played the part.”

In that second interview, Evans explained:

“He called Abercrombie’s office *after reading online reports* that the governor couldn’t find Obama’s birth certificate but that Abercrombie did not return his call. *“I haven’t talked to Neil since he’s been governor,”* Evans said.⁴⁰ (Emphasis added)

In light of his initial enthusiastic insistence of the truth of his conversation with Abercrombie, during his first two viral interviews conducted in major media radio markets, a number of people believed that Mike Evans was either one of the poorest fibbers the world had ever heard, or worse yet, the Deep State had “gotten to him.”⁴¹

Regardless of the documented evidence you have read thus far, the leftist mainstream publication *Politico* - just before the elections in November 2016, one more time endeavored to defend Hillary against the *she started the birther narrative* allegation. Apparently, it felt it *had* to do so. Because, to its ever-growing consternation, that claim had now been publically leveled against Hillary Clinton, by Donald Trump himself, in a live presidential debate. Now the entire planet was talking about the issue – again!⁴²

To get the heat off of Hillary, that *Politico* article attempted to bring the commencement of the birther narrative to the doorsteps of a single person. It desperately needed a “scapegoat.”

In fact, birtherism, as it's been called, *reportedly* began with *innuendo* by *serial Illinois political candidate Andy Martin*, who *painted Obama as a closet Muslim in 2004*. That spiraled into a concerted effort by conspiracy theorists to raise doubts about Obama's birthplace and religion — and essentially *paint him as un-American*.

Martin, who briefly launched a little-noticed presidential campaign last year, *has disavowed the movement he's often credited with starting*.⁴³ (Emphasis added)

I have to interject a very important point here. I find it downright humorous — and shamefully duplicitous - that *Politico* would characterize Martin as one who “painted Obama as a closet Muslim” and as “un-American.” It used that particular characterization of Martin in a brazen attempt to impugn his character. In fact, presenting Obama as a “closet Muslim” is *exactly what Hillary Clinton did...* and she got called out by her own leftist peers for doing it. Yet Hillary is declared “innocent” for doing so, while Martin is declared to be an outright villain.

Hillary's campaign even circulated a picture of Obama wearing customary Muslim garb in order to call into question his “traditional American” loyalty, and to promote his perceived “closet Muslim” stature.⁴⁴ At the very same time she was doing that, Hillary's own campaign advisor was pushing her to “question [Obama's] American roots” because it “could hurt him.” It seems *Politico* just couldn't help itself, and slipped right into its own pit of slimy deception.

However, *Politico* did have to ultimately “admit” that the rumors had in fact started within the Clinton circle. Yet, even that nefarious confession, again, obscured the genuine truth of the matter:

Some hardcore Clinton backers circulated the rumors in 2008, but the *campaign itself* steered clear.⁴⁵

The “hardcore Clinton backers” were at least, as we’ve already noted, Sidney Blumenthal - Hillary’s chief campaign advisor,⁴⁶ and Mark Penn⁴⁷ – an important Hillary campaign advisor who documented the strategy in an archived campaign memo. And the campaign did not “steer clear” of it, the truth of which is clearly documented. Furthermore, if Blumenthal and Penn were not *hardcore Clinton backers*, as well as officers of her campaign, what else were they? The *Politico* fake-news spin machine appeared to be at it again. And so, the Big Lie continued to advance – while most of America yawned.

Fact #2 – Very Important People Knew

Months before Obama’s PDF “birth certificate” was released on the White House website, and long before Sheriff Arpaio’s investigation officially commenced, several unexpected bombshell statements were also released to the public arena. This time, they were from prominent high-ranking U.S. Military officers, as well as a renowned Harvard Law professor.

In June 2010 the *Post & Email*’s Sharon Rondeau interviewed retired Major General Paul Vallely. Vallely who, at the time, was a highly respected national security expert and a popular Fox News contributor. In that interview Vallely leveled several shocking “insider” accusations:

Mrs. Rondeau: What *about the Joint Chiefs of Staff*? Even aside from the eligibility question, *they must know that something is terribly wrong*.

Gen. Vallely: *Well, they do, but they won’t do a thing about it*. They won’t address it; they won’t even talk about it. *The fix is in. The Obama administration is a bunch of Chicago gangsters, and they put the fear of God in all these people* that you’re not to say anything about this, and if you do, you might as well retire and move on. But *that’s the type of mindset we have now in Washington*.⁴⁸

But there's still more. In September 2010, Lieutenant General Thomas McInerney had also joined the fray over Obama's true identity. General McInerney, a West Point graduate who ran the Alaskan air command during the Exxon Valdez disaster, and also a *Fox News* analyst making over 144 appearances on that channel, declared:

[The president] is ***the one single person*** in the Chain of Command ***that the Constitution demands proof of natural born citizenship***. This determination is fundamental to our Republic, where civilian control over the military is the rule. According to the Constitution, the Commander in Chief must now, in the face of serious — and widely-held — concerns that he is ineligible, either voluntarily ***establish his eligibility by authorizing release of his birth records or this court must authorize their discovery***.⁴⁹ (Emphasis added)

Next, in December 2010, a respected medical doctor and a Lieutenant Colonel in the U.S. Army, Dr. Terry Lakin, was court-martialed and sentenced to prison over the Obama birth issue.

Lakin had refused to deploy to Afghanistan because of his growing concerns over President Obama's constitutional eligibility. It was Lakin's plan to insist upon securing a copy of Obama's genuine birth certificate as a part of his court-martial. Lakin had the support of three retired American generals who possessed the same trepidations concerning Obama's birth narrative.

Just before Lakin finally advanced to the court-martial proceedings, it was determined by the military judge Colonel Denise Lind (a *registered Democrat*), according to voter records⁵⁰ that President Obama's eligibility and his birth certificate had *no relevance* in the case. Thus, under Lind's authority, that military court never examined Obama's eligibility to be the legitimate Commander in Chief of America's superpower military machine.⁵¹ Apparently Colonel Lind didn't care where her "boss" was born. How wonderfully advantageous for continuing to cover for Obama's *secret*.

Then, in May 2011, just after the Obama administration had posted the PDF certificate on the White House website, Major General Vallely weighed in once again. This time, his comments were especially stunning, and took the Obama birth-certificate saga to an entirely new level.

In a recorded interview, Vallely attested:

[Obama's] *actual birth certificate has never been found in Hawaii nor released from Hawaii hospital there*, Kapiolani hospital there, if it in fact did exist. *We've had three CIA agents*, retired, and some of their analytical associates look at it, and *all came to the same conclusion, that even the long-form was a forged document*.

No members of Congress will take this on. The word I get out of Washington is that they don't want to challenge this *because it would be in fact a felony offense and in some cases may be even treasonous and [they are] afraid of a black backlash from some of the urban areas*. ...

I think they're (the FBI) covering for this administration. I think the *corruption within this administration is so proliferated through the agencies of government* now, we're just in a bad situation here. I think the lack of confidence in our government is growing and many feel that *not only all the members of Congress but even our courts are corrupted at this time*.⁵² (Emphasis added)

Those are monumental admissions coming from the mouth of a retired Major General, especially when one considers how deeply connected a man of his prominence would have been with Washington, D.C., insiders. He basically described to a tee what we now call *the Deep State*.

General Vallely alleged that Obama's FBI (headed by Mueller and followed by Comey), the Obama-era Congress, and the Obama-era courts – all, in one way or the other - were involved in covering up the facts surrounding

Obama's birth, facts that three retired CIA agents had discovered early on – namely *Obama's PDF "birth certificate" was a forged document.*

As mentioned earlier, a distinguished Harvard Law professor weighed in on the Obama identity scandal as well. In early 2011, Dr. Charles E. Rice, Professor Emeritus with the Law School of Notre Dame University, penned these words:

[Those whom] the media and political pundits dismiss as *"birthers"* **have raised legitimate questions.** That legitimacy is *fueled by Obama's curious, even bizarre, refusal to consent to the release of the relevant records...*

This is potentially serious business. *If it turns out that Obama knew he was ineligible when he campaigned and when he took the oath as President, it could be the biggest political fraud in the history of the world.* As long as Obama refuses to disclose the records, speculation will grow and grow without any necessary relation to the truth. *The first step toward resolving the issue is full discovery and disclosure* of the facts.⁵³ (Emphasis added, brackets added)

Of course, to this day, there has never been a *full discovery and disclosure of the facts* before a federal investigatory body.

Fact #3 – It Was Never a Birther Issue to Sheriff Arpaio

Many are surprised to learn that at no time in Sheriff Arpaio's formal criminal investigation was the inquiry ever pointedly concerned with where Obama was born. Yet, the fake news has continued to disseminate this falsehood – regardless of the hard facts that are documented in a number of mainstream media sources.

- “This investigation was never about where President Obama was born,” Arpaio said. “We were going to investigate a government possible forged document.” (The Hill)⁵⁴

- “I don’t care where he’s from,” Arpaio told the crowd of about 200. “We are looking at a forged document. Period.” (AZ Central)⁵⁵
- “Think of it! We were trying to clear the president. But that didn’t happen.” Arpaio said. (USA Today)⁵⁶
- “I started this because of a fake document. I didn’t care where the President came from. I didn’t care at all.” (CNN)⁵⁷

It’s clear that finding the exact origin of Obama’s birth was never the presenting issue for Joe Arpaio. Their investigation was simply not a “birther” matter.

Nor was there ever really a question as to whether or not a genuine birth certificate existed somewhere within the recesses of the Hawaii Department of Health.⁵⁸ Except for the obvious investigative fact that *if* a legitimate one *did* exist, it would have certainly cleared up the issue. For that very reason, early on, investigators from the MCSO did travel to Hawaii’s Department of Health to seek out such a genuine certificate from government officials there.

However, instead of being offered any kind of appropriate assistance, they ran into a bureaucratic brick wall of obfuscation. They were refused any legitimate cooperation and were never allowed to see a birth document for Barack Obama. Which only increased their suspicions that something was deeply amiss in this slowly unfolding mystery.

That official MCSO investigation was continually harangued for their efforts by several well-known Obama sycophants and even Fox News commentator Bill O’Reilly.⁵⁹

Those detractors still insist to this day: *But there are birth announcements for Obama in Hawaiian newspapers! This settles the matter!*⁶⁰

To which Sheriff Arpaio has responded in this manner: *We are not investigating highly questionable newspaper birth announcements. We are investigating a forged and fabricated fake birth certificate apparently*

activated on behalf of the president – which is a federal crime. Someone in this case has committed several felonies to forge this document and then display it on a government website.

That truly was *all* that their central investigation was ever focused upon. In fact, that was all that was needed in order to prove that someone tied to the Obama administration had committed a serious of very serious federal crimes – perhaps even crimes of treason.⁶¹

Fact #4 – Obama, the Chief Hider of Documents

“We were asked ‘what are you proudest of from your administration?’ You know what I said? — [Obama] said the same thing as I did - [We had] not one single whisper of scandal.” - Joe Biden (2019)⁶²

Once Barack Obama won his first presidential election in 2008, his subsequent refusal to release a huge number of his personal records was beginning to offend the sensibilities of millions of concerned Americans - on both sides of the isle. The most questionable of all of those identifying documents was *Obama’s Hawaiian birth certificate*.

After all, why in the world would any legitimate, American-born president *not* want the citizens of America to see his original paper-copy birth certificate, back up by the microfilm copies if necessary - especially if his eligibility to legitimately the hold office was in serious question? There’s nothing particularly personal about an innocuous legal document that simply proves the state, date, parents, doctor, and the hospital of one’s birth. *Unless* – to use Obama’s own words, one “has something to hide.”⁶³

It appeared mindboggling that Obama would not open up for inspection his original paper birth certificate, and the microfilm files that would accompany it. As Sheriff Arpaio has said on numerous occasions, *If Obama would just do that – this whole issue would be over – that very same day!*

[Arpaio said] “Show. Us. The. Microfilm,” he demanded. “I said it awhile back. Show. Us. The. Microfilm. And we’ll all

go back home and forget this! Where is the microfilm? Where is the microfilm? Is it in Hawaii? The Department of Health? What's the big secret?"⁶⁴

But Barack Obama never did offer the microfilm, or the certified hard-copy paper document. To this day, the public has yet to lay eyes upon those items. Instead, the investigation was categorically maligned by the *fake news* media for even suggesting that such a common-sense, drama-ending approach should ever be taken. We were simply supposed to take Obama's word in the matter – backed up by the sycophant fake-news media.

To put this matter in its proper perspective, consider the reaction of the media, Congress, and federal law enforcement agencies if the subject in question was Donald Trump's birth narrative – and his complete lack of transparency in producing his identifying documents.

The Obama Executive Order

The orchestrated withholding of a number of Obama's other personal records appeared to be approaching the epitome of abject hypocrisy as well, especially in light of the executive order that he had signed on *the very first day* of his brand-new presidency.

Believe it not, that executive order was *not* one that allowed Obama to lock down *his own* personal documents, as had been widely reported.⁶⁵ Rather, Obama's first-day order rescinded a President Bush-era executive order that actually prevented presidential records from being made public *after* a President left office.

On the day Obama signed Executive Order 13489⁶⁶ he also made a statement for the public record. Given what we now know about Obama's years of obfuscation concerning practically every element of his own past, his words had an ominously phony ring to them:

For a long time now, there's been too much secrecy in this city. This administration stands on the side not of those who

seek to withhold information but with those who seek it to be known. The mere fact that you have the legal power to keep something secret does not mean you should always use it. Transparency and the rule of law will be the touchstones of [my] presidency.⁶⁷ (Brackets added)

By the end of Obama's presidency in 2016, his oft-repeated vow of providing *complete transparency* had changed dramatically. In fact, it had practically melted into oblivion. The policy reversal was such a vivid and historically unprecedented event that even normally left-leaning news organizations had become perplexed.

Following is an excerpt of a *CBS News* article summarizing the reversal:

WASHINGTON -- The Obama administration in its final year in office spent **a record \$36.2 million** on legal costs **defending its refusal to turn over federal records** under the Freedom of Information Act, according to an Associated Press analysis of new U.S. data that also showed poor performance in other categories measuring transparency in government.

For a second consecutive year, the Obama administration set a record for times federal employees told citizens, journalists and others that **despite searching they couldn't find a single page of files that were requested.**⁶⁸ (Emphasis added)

National Archives Records Missing

To make matters infinitely worse, as late as June 10, 2018, the nation learned another scandalous fact regarding the Obama Administration's "wholesale destruction" of records – potentially *a federal crime*.⁶⁹ This time it concerned the records of the National Archives.

Real Clear Politics reported the matter:

The accumulation of recent congressional testimony has made it clear that *the Obama administration itself engaged in the wholesale destruction and “loss” of tens of thousands of government records* covered under the act as well as *the intentional evasion of the government records* recording system by engaging in private email exchanges. So far, former President Obama, former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, former Attorney General Lynch and several EPA officials have been named as offenders. The [Obama] IRS suffered record “losses” as well.⁷⁰ (Emphasis added, brackets added)

But that’s not all. The *Post & Email* reported in June of 2018 that the MCSO Obama birth certificate investigation ran into the very same problem when attempting to examine the National Archive records. Their investigator wanted to examine certain international travel records that related to the specific years of Obama’s supposed birth date. But the National Archives could not produce them.⁷¹

Earth-shattering

We’ve had three CIA agents, retired, and some of their analytical associates look at it, and all came to the same conclusion, that even the long-form was a forged document. – U.S. Army Major General Paul Valley⁷²

When the 2011 PDF “birth certificate” had finally been posted, the Obama administration proclaimed that this copy of his “original” Hawaiian birth certificate was meant to prove once and for all that Obama was eligible to hold that office.⁷³

Sheriff Arpaio had, on several occasions, insisted that he had originally expressed no interest in the Obama birth-certificate fracas *at all*. However, Arpaio admitted that he became engaged in the case only when he was running for another term as Sheriff in the upcoming 2012 election. During that time he said he was incessantly approached by a large contingency of his supporters. They were asking him to investigate the matter.

Those voters argued that if the Obama birth certificate was truly a fake, then the citizens of Maricopa County could be defrauded during the upcoming 2012 election, and would certainly have already been defrauded in the 2008 election. They insisted upon an investigatory answer to that legal question of election integrity. They finally presented the sheriff with a lengthy petition, imploring him to investigate the Obama 2011 PDF birth certificate.⁷⁴

As a result, Arpaio formally opened the investigation in August 2011, four months after Obama had posted the PDF. Arpaio insisted that he truly wanted to be the Sheriff who *would clear the president* in this growing national matter so that the country could move on from it.⁷⁵

However, instead of being able to clear Obama, Sheriff Arpaio's official investigation eventually uncovered the greatest political crime America may have ever experienced, one that would prove to be largely ignored by America's supposed *fourth estate* of government – the “ever vigilant” mainstream media. As Major General Vallely had earlier cautioned: *The fix was in*.

Fact #5 – The Deep State Was, and Still Is, Involved

*“The mere fact that you have the legal power to keep something secret does not mean you should always use it. Transparency and the rule of law will be the touchstones of [my] presidency.” - Barack Obama*⁷⁶

Of course, one must also take into account that all three Maricopa County Sheriff's Office press conferences and the entire five-year criminal investigation itself were conducted solely during the years of the uber-powerful Obama presidency. Today, it is no secret concerning the virtual lock-down that Obama's administration held over the courts, congress, practically all of the mainstream media, federal law enforcement agencies, national records archives, and the DOJ - not to mention a slew of state governors and other important state officials across the nation. Again, Generals Vallely and McInerney tried to warn

America of the reality of that unholy alliance that was steadily congealing right under our noses.

Big Media Names Shut Out the Investigation

The *politically-incorrect* atmosphere that overshadowed the official Obama birth-certificate investigation certainly held its say over several well-known major media personalities. It even reached into a number of top conservative media corners. In a radio interview in 2019, a few of those big names in media were eventually revealed. These were shows that purportedly were scheduled to interview the MCSO birth certificate lead investigator right after the initial Sheriff's Office press conference. After they heard the findings, as reported by Sheriff Arpaio, they cancelled the interviews. The media programs that reneged on the offer were reported to be the *Rush Limbaugh Show*, Sean Hannity's radio show, and Lawrence O'Donnell of MSNBC.⁷⁷

Fact #6 – Political Payback

*"I started my birth certificate investigation around the same time [Donald Trump] did his." - Sheriff Joe Arpaio*⁷⁸

Another important but often overlooked connection to this story is the fact that Sheriff Joe Arpaio was also eventually charged with a federal contempt crime. This charge came after he admitted to neglecting to obey an Obama-era federal judge's order, which would require the Sheriff to cease from enforcing certain federal civil immigration laws.⁷⁹

Arpaio was charged in 2015, almost three years after commencing the Obama birth-certificate investigation, and two years after the public airing of his first two press conferences. Many, including Sheriff Arpaio himself,⁸⁰ saw the maneuver as a blatantly political one, having been orchestrated by the Obama administration, through Eric Holder's Justice Department as payback for conducting the Obama birth certificate investigation.

Trump Pardons Joe Arpaio

However, it would be the newly elected President Donald Trump who would stir the pot again. Trump pardoned Sheriff Arpaio in August of 2017. That act of exoneration wasn't supposed to happen as far as the Deep State had been concerned. But it was something they feared might happen, on the off-chance that Trump might actually win the presidency. With that pardon, the leftists and the *Never-Trumpers* on all sides of the isle were once again enraged. Their worst-nightmare scenario was slowly unfolding before their eyes. Their complicity in the crime of the ages was perhaps one more very important step closer to being revealed ...⁸¹

Arpaio and his lawyer, Mark Goldman, said they did not contact Trump during this period, nor ask anyone in the administration for a pardon. "I didn't ask for the pardon," Arpaio said. "[President Trump] wanted to do it because I think he understood what I was going through."⁸²

Also, never doubt, Hillary was *supposed* to have *won* the 2016 presidential election, as far as the Deep State players were concerned. Practically all the "official" polls had promised them that she would win - right up to the day of the election. And the mainstream fake news was proudly proclaiming the assurances.⁸³

Undoubtedly, they had planned that when Hillary Clinton finally walked into the White House as America's first female president, this entire "birther" nightmare – and many other similar illegal scenarios - could eventually just "go away." And, as icing on the cake, *if Hillary had won*, then former Sheriff Arpaio would serve time in a federal prison and would be politically discredited forever.

However, yet again, the dominoes didn't fall in the right direction for Hillary Clinton and the Deep State. By November 2016, Trump was firmly entrenched in the White House – many believed, by the hand of God - to the great dismay of the thoroughly traumatized Democrats. And to add unbearable insult to the already massive political injury, Donald Trump just happened to be the man who had effectually antagonized first-term

President Barack Obama into finally posting the questionable long-form birth certificate on the White House website in the first place.⁸⁴

Just before Obama had posted that PDF file, Donald Trump had proclaimed to NBC News:

"If he has a birth certificate he should release it," Trump told NBC News. Trump exudes confidence. ***He is so sure of himself, he says he may run for president in 2012.***⁸⁵
(Emphasis added)

However, there had been even more Obama-prodding instigated by Donald Trump. The year after the birth certificate was posted, Trump had issued yet another embarrassing challenge to Obama. In October of 2012, Trump offered Obama five million dollars, to a charity of his choice, if Obama would cough up his other sealed identifying documents – namely his college records and his passport applications.⁸⁶

Trump was hanging on to Obama's "identity issue" like a bulldog with a death-lock on a piece of red meat. While millions of Americans loved him for it, the left deeply resented his relentless efforts to uncover the truth.

As history now records, Trump did not run for president in 2012. However, on January 20th, 2017, he was indeed seated in the White House after winning the 2016 election. He now had the authority that could finally be used to effectively blow the lid off the deep state's entire charade in a number of areas, and not just the issue of the Obama Birth Certificate.

As the left collectively fell into a political depression, some of them began to wonder aloud in FBI text messages, as well as in the headlines of the nation's fake news organizations: *How could this have happened? Are there, maybe, any political 'insurance' policies out there somewhere?*⁸⁷ *Can't this Trump-atrocity be fixed?*

Fact #7 - Enter the Mueller Investigation

Then, just in the nick of time for the left, came the over-two-year-long Mueller Investigation.⁸⁸

That DOJ inquest, under the authority of then self-recused Attorney General Jeff Sessions,⁸⁹ was supposedly assembled to ascertain if Donald Trump or any of his inner circle had criminally colluded with the Russians in order to sway the 2016 presidential campaign in his direction thus securing his win of the White House.

It would later prove to be that it was actually Hillary Clinton's campaign that had colluded with several foreign agents, including the Russians, and for the explicit purpose of manipulating and influencing the outcome of the 2016 election. Of course, the *Mueller report* didn't even come close to reporting the facts of the Hillary/Russia collusion.⁹⁰

In March 2019 *The Federalist* summarized the story like this:

After a nearly two-year-long investigation that issued 2,800 subpoenas, interviewed 500 witnesses, and used nearly 300 wiretaps and pen registers, **Special Counsel Robert Mueller concluded that there was no evidence of collusion by Trump or his associates.**

But that doesn't mean 2016 was free of Russian collusion. To the contrary, there is clear evidence that a 2016 presidential campaign willfully and deliberately colluded with Russians in a bid to interfere with American elections. It wasn't Trump's campaign that colluded with shady Russia oligarchs and sketchy Russian sources to subvert American democracy: it was Hillary Clinton's.⁹¹
(Emphasis added)

Some, including President Trump himself, were insisting that Mueller himself might have been the so-called "insurance policy" that Peter Strzok had earlier referenced.⁹² Strzok made that veiled promise in a now infamous text message with his FBI coworker and paramour Lisa Page.⁹³

Along those lines, a March 2019 *Forbes*’ article offered this insight:

Strzok testified that the mid-August 2016 text [about an insurance policy] was part of a larger conversation about protecting an "extremely sensitive source" as he and Page considered **how far to lean into the counterintelligence investigation into possible links between the Trump campaign and Russia**, as they didn't think Trump had a very good chance of beating Hillary Clinton in the election.⁹⁴

Don't forget that it was the same Robert Mueller who was the Obama FBI director during the first years of Sheriff Arpaio's investigation of Obama's birth certificate. After Robert Mueller came James Comey.⁹⁵

And, of course, we now know of James Comey's attempt to flatly separate himself from the fake Christopher Steele dossier, as reported by *The Hill* in January 2019:

Comey claims he didn't know about [Bruce] Ohr's contacts with Steele, even though his top deputy, McCabe, got the first contact. ***But none of that absolves his FBI, or the DOJ*** for that matter, ***from failing to divulge essential and exculpatory information*** from Ohr to the FISA court.⁹⁶
(Emphasis added)

Later, after president Trump fired him, James Comey would publicly announce in an *ABC News* interview that Donald Trump was “morally unfit” to be the President of the United States.⁹⁷

Fact #8— This Stops at Obama's Doorstep

However, the deep state Obama-era connections to the *Mueller report still go deeper* ...

According to a striking statement made by the former director of the Obama administration's National Security Agency, James Clapper, the

investigation into President Donald Trump started at the top - in the office of President Barack Obama.

CLAPPER: “**If it weren’t for President Obama** we might not have done the intelligence community assessment that we did that set up a whole sequence of events which are still unfolding today, *notably Special Counsel Mueller’s investigation.*

President Obama is responsible for that. It was he who tasked us to do that intelligence community assessment in the first place.”⁹⁸ (Emphasis added)

Ironically, the original context of Clapper’s statement was that he was attempting to make certain that Obama got the “credit” for what Clapper thought, at the time, was President Trump’s certain demise under the final outcome of the *Mueller Report*. Clapper wanted the world to know that Obama was the hero in this story – and that he, James Clapper, had said it first.⁹⁹

Throughout most of the investigation, the Deep State power players were more than certain that Robert Mueller’s findings would prove to be Trump’s *Sword of Damocles*. The sycophant mainstream media breathlessly reported on their confidence that the indictment sword would soon fall, not only on Donald Trump but also on members of President Trump’s family.

By December 2018 *USA Today* was already whipping its base into a frenzy of almost certain expectancy:

If Justice Department guidelines allowed it, *there’s no doubt Mueller would have already indicted Trump*. The *net is closing in* now on family and associates...

*There is now no chance that Mueller’s investigation will end with a whimper... there is almost certainly an indictment in the works for one of Trump’s inner circle, possibly even a member of his family.*¹⁰⁰ (Emphasis added)

Similarly, *The New Yorker* reported:

The criminality of the key figures in the President's inner circle ***is now established***, and they have started to ***implicate Trump himself***.¹⁰¹ (Emphasis added)

The *New York Times*, in its unabashed pomposity, even went so far as to print a plan to actually go ahead and draft "Articles of Impeachment" against President Trump. That piece was also printed on the confident-laden anticipation of Mueller's certain-to-be findings that would ultimately condemn the president.¹⁰²

However, the Mueller Report produced no such hoped-for outcome. In fact, the report effectively exonerated President Trump.¹⁰³ On top of that, Trump's Department of Justice, within weeks of the release of the *Mueller report*, opened a probe of the Mueller investigation itself.¹⁰⁴ As of this writing, that probe is still continuing.

The Trump DOJ probe was being conducted under the suspicion that Mueller's inquest might have actually been predicated upon Christopher Steele's illegal fake dossier. That document, it turns out, had been well-known among Deep State insiders to have been a fabricated fraud.¹⁰⁵

In short, the "Trump colluded with Russia" narrative appeared to be collapsing right before the eyes of the Deep State. And, of all things, its demise was being ultimately pinned on the existence of a *fake fabricated document* that was engulfed in lies and intrigue. Any of this sound familiar?

But, because of James Clapper's grandiose announcement, what we *now have* is his embarrassing "oops" testimony stating that this whole matter traces directly back to Obama himself. Imagine that - a man that used a fabricated document to identify his own legitimacy to hold the office of president, would be behind an "investigation plot" on another president that would ultimately involve a bogus document, one developed with the assistance of several foreign operatives. You can't make this stuff up.

Gee, those pesky fake documents produced by Deep State operatives and pushed along, or ignored, by top government officials, and even outright lying to federal judges, as well as illegally spying on American citizens¹⁰⁶ - with the full support of the sycophant *fake media* ... just keep showing up in this story. What are the odds?

Now, let's finally get to that December 2016 birth certificate press conference.

Fact #9 - The Explosive Third Press Conference

And so it was that in Sheriff Arpaio's publically televised final press conference on December 15, 2016, the investigation demonstrated how the Obama PDF was nothing more than a manufactured digital file purporting to be a copy of "something" - but it certainly was not a copy of a legitimate birth document. It was from the ground up a forgery.

And here was the video evidence, right in front of the media's collective faces in living color.¹⁰⁷ That evidence proved to be a massive humiliation to all who were directly, or indirectly, complicit in the years of orchestrated cover-up. So, obviously, they could never let the detailed results of that conference see the light of day.

The Video

During the hour-long presentation on that 2016 evening an eight-minute spell-binding video was aired, which vividly demonstrated how the Obama birth certificate had been digitally contrived. The fake Obama birth document was also shown to have commenced, more than likely, with a completely blank template which was then craftily pieced together by pulling bits, and even "groups," of information - in a digital cut-and-paste method - from legitimate Hawaiian birth certificates.

The video highlighted what was coined by the investigation as "9 points of forgery" on the Obama document. These handful of forgery illustrations

that they were willing to publicly release, focused on the angles of date stamps, as well as on groups of typed letters and words.¹⁰⁸

One of the genuine birth certificates used in creating the Obama birth-certificate forgery – and examined in the forensic analysis - was revealed to have belonged to a Hawaiian-born woman named Johanna Ah’Nee.

The people who were gathered at that December 2016 conference witnessed certain portions of information from the Ah’Nee certificate being digitally “lifted” and placed, then perfectly aligned and mathematically juxtaposed on top of Obama’s document. Gasps of shock could be heard from a number of audience members as the fraud became glaringly obvious.

The upshot of the press conference was that those particular elements of info from Ah’Nee’s birth certificate could not have possibly wound up, under normal circumstances, on Obama’s PDF copy from an original that was truly on file at the Hawaii Department of Health. Especially since those elements possessed such precision alignment, complete with the same ink splatters and overlaps of letters and words in adjoining information boxes, and at the exact same angles.

It would have been impossible that these things happened by “hand” and it could not have happened by digital manipulation back in the 1960s when Obama’s document was purported to have been originally created. The only way this could have happened would have been through a premeditated and carefully orchestrated digital forgery, available only in much more modern times – some thirty-plus years *after* Obama was born. The video presentation was something a third-grader could comprehend.

Two International Forensics Companies

In addition to this highly irrefutable evidence, the investigation also revealed that it had employed the services of two professional document examination entities, each located on different continents, and each using different and highly creditable forensic methods of examination.

These companies had been sought to further examine the discoveries the MCSO investigation had uncovered, and to render professional, unbiased opinions in the matter. Neither of the two entities – *FORLABS* in Italy, and *Reed Hayes Handwriting and Document Examiner* in Hawaii - was aware that the other was involved in the forensic process.¹⁰⁹

Each of the two companies concluded that the Obama birth certificate PDF posted on the White House website was a more than highly suspicious, containing numerous anomalies statistically consistent with a blatant forgery. They also concluded that the Ah’Nee birth document was in fact at least one of the documents used to create the image of Obama’s supposed birth document.

A Forensic Bombshell

On the website of Reed Hayes Handwriting and Document Examiner, Hayes attests to these utterly shocking findings:

In the past I have been **approached numerous times** to review the birth certificate issue. **I always refused, given my political leanings and the resulting potential for reaching biased opinions about the matter.**

I finally agreed when Michael Zullo of the Maricopa County Arizona Sheriff’s Office Cold Case Posse contacted me in November 2012, reminding myself that **as a handwriting and document examiner my duty is to allow whatever documentation I’m examining to speak for itself** and that I am not an advocate for either side or any party. ... I have made a concerted effort to lay personal bias aside and work toward **the most objective opinions regarding the Obama birth certificate matter...**

As stated in my report to Mr. Zullo, the COLB [Certificate of Live Birth] that I examined has **so many blatant oddities**

that it makes me wonder if this particular PDF may have been created in an effort to impeach President Obama.

Surely the creator of the document (if it is in fact manufactured and not just a color scan) would have been sufficiently familiar with image editing programs **so as to not leave such numerous, noticeable discrepancies in the final product, unless it was intended as an impeachment tool.** Familiarity with basic scanning techniques and image editing procedures should have precluded **such obvious “mistakes.”...**

My report also makes it clear that I suspect the document released by the White House **may be a fabricated document intended to bring down President Obama. ...**

In short, there are indications that the Obama Certificate of Live Birth released by the White House in April 2011 may be a manufactured document or perhaps even an outright forgery.¹¹⁰ (Emphasis and brackets added)

Think of it. Hayes insists that the document he examined “has so many blatant oddities that it makes me wonder if this particular PDF may have been created in an effort to impeach President Obama.”

Hayes even attested that he believed the document might have been intended to be “an impeachment tool” that was fabricated for the purpose of “bringing down” a sitting president. Really? The PDF was that poorly constructed?

And don’t forget who put that document on the White House website in the first place. *It was Obama’s own administration.* Sheriff Arpaio didn’t post it there. Nor did Donald Trump.

Mr. Hayes’ statements are monumental, because the PDF that Hayes examined *is* in fact the *exact document* the Obama camp and the leftist media continues to lean upon as their *bonafide evidence* that a genuine

document is on file at the Department of Health in Hawaii. That document can still be downloaded, as of this writing, on the Obama archived site, and can still be examined by any other legitimate examination company on the planet.¹¹¹

The Bottom Line

The matter of a fake birth certificate's presence on the White House website, placed there by the Obama Administration, appears to be a proven fact. What we have, in that specific document, is a professionally and forensically proven federal crime that obviously goes all the way to the Obama Oval Office.

This explosive revelation is the very thing that Sheriff Arpaio has been desperately trying to tell the nation for years. And this is what congress, federal law enforcement officers, and the mainstream media have completely ignored and/or tried to delegitimize. And mark my words, this is also a huge part of what the Deep State players so desperately do not want you to know, because so many are complicit in the cover-up, in one way or another. Sheriff Arpaio's investigative efforts were a monumental embarrassment to them.

So much for the leftist, fake-news, incessant claims that the Obama fraudulent birth-certificate conspiracy "has been debunked." That is just another leftist and fake news crafted fairytale.

Fact #10 - Perkins-Coie / Judith Corley / Loretta Fuddy

The saga goes even deeper. The PDF document on the White House website was *hand delivered* to the Obama administration by a partner in the law firm of Perkins Coie. Her name is Judith Corley.

Look at the verification of this fact, as documented on a Washington D.C. legal site, dated April 2011:

It fell to a partner from the Washington office of Perkins Coie¹¹² to obtain a copy of President Barack Obama's original birth certificate.

According to copies of letters released today by White House officials, *partner Judith Corley initiated the process* last week and **planned to travel to Hawaii to pick up two copies. Corley represents the president** in his personal matters, a job she assumed last year when Robert Bauer left Perkins Coie to become White House counsel.

Corley wrote to Loretta Fuddy in Hawaii's state health department on April 22. "I am writing on behalf of my client, President Barack Obama," the letter begins.

[It was] confirmed in a news conference today **that Corley did travel personally to Honolulu to pick up copies of the birth certificate.**¹¹³

Now, consider Hayes' words again, particularly those words that "wonder if" this PDF "may have been created in an effort to impeach President Obama." Let's now ask the obvious question: "Then ...*who was it* that created and/or handled this document?"

So far, we know of only three people: Hawaii Department of Health director Loretta Fuddy, senior partner of Perkins Coie Judith Corley, and whoever actually posted the PDF on the White House website.

Furthermore, if that document was falsely created or manipulated, then why did an "innocent" Obama, or any of his attorneys, not quickly spot these "so many anomalies" and track down the forger(s) themselves? In what real-life scenario can you imagine that the administration of a legitimate president would completely ignore, or miss, a criminally explosive situation like that?

So, what was the media's reaction after that final and devastating press conference, and the findings from *Reed Hayes Handwriting and Document Examiner* and *FORLAB*? It was a virtual media shutdown - again. Not a

snippet of detailed forensic findings commentary revealed at the conference was relayed to the American national television news audiences. It was as if the conference had never been held and the independent expert analyses were never conducted.¹¹⁴

The Loretta Fuddy Mystery

As we move forward, we certainly must examine the suspicious case of Loretta Fuddy. Especially given the fact that she was the official who physically delivered the Hawaiian birth certificate PDF information to the Perkins Coie partner, Judith Corley.

At this point, Loretta Fuddy would be a key witness in the Obama birth saga. *Right?* Especially in light of document examiner Reed Hayes' allegations that the PDF eventually posted was so "filled with mistakes" that it looked to him like it "may be a fabricated document intended to bring down President Obama."

Wouldn't we want to ask Ms. Fuddy: *Is the PDF info on the White House website the very same info you gave Obama's legal representative – or had it been changed from what you originally gave them?*

Well, conveniently, the world will never get to hear from Loretta Fuddy.

That's because on December 13, 2013, she mysteriously died as the result of a Hawaiian commuter plane crash. Out of nine total souls on board including the pilot, Loretta Fuddy was the only one who died. *Hawaii News Now* reported that "her cause of death was listed as an irregular heartbeat triggered by stress."¹¹⁵

Fuddy was appointed to her position by Governor Abercrombie. just **three months before** Obama's long form "birth certificate" finally made its April 2011 debut on the White House website. *You know...*the certificate to which Hawaii Governor Neil Abercrombie had previously claimed to have had absolutely no access.

Think of it. Just a little more than a year-and-a-half after Obama's birth certificate was posted on the White House server, the newly appointed Fuddy, the only one who would know from whence that information would have originated, was dead. Her voice would never be heard concerning what really happened. How utterly convenient for Barack Obama and company.

The intrigue deepened, yet again.

Who Was Loretta Fuddy?

This leaves us with another obvious question: *Who was Loretta Fuddy, and what was her connection to Obama?*

Prepare for another jaw-dropping revelation.

In January 2014, an *American Thinker* investigative article documented the startling connections like this:

Ann Soetoro [Dunham] [Barack Obama's supposed mother] and Loretta Fuddy appear to have one **very odd thing in common: both have been linked to the Subud cult, which originated in, of all places, Indonesia** and was founded by the Javanese Muslim Muhammed Subuh.

Note also that **the World Subud organization seems to have been based** in, of all cities....wait for it...*Chicago*.

Indonesia...Chicago...Hawaii...three locales linked to Obama's life.

Now to **Ann Soetoro**. She was linked to Subud by her **biographer** (and New York Times reporter) Janny Scott (Harvard '77) in the book *A Singular Woman: The Untold Story of Barack Obama's Mother*," reviewed by the New York Times.

... Of all the persons ... that could have been installed as Director of the State Department of Health in Hawaii,

Hawaii alighted on Fuddy -- a leader of a small cult with roots in Indonesia and connections to Ann Soetoro -- Obama's mother. Second, observe that Fuddy assumed the Director position in Hawaii in January 2011, just a few months before the release of Obama's long form birth certificate.¹¹⁶ (Emphasis added. Brackets added, parenthesis in original)

This revelation brings to mind the old adage, *Where there's smoke, there's surely a fire somewhere nearby*. The problem here is that not only can we see the voluminous column of smoke; we can also hear the crackling of the roaring flame that produced the smoke.

Fact #11 – The Deep State River Runs Through It

Thankfully, America now knows so much more about the infamous Steele dossier and the involvement of the Hillary Clinton 2016 presidential campaign¹¹⁷ in suborning that fabricated document filled with false information. You know, the same Hillary Clinton who actually fueled the initial “birther movement.”

We are also now privy to the various levels of involvement of the DNC, the Perkins Coie law firm; and the Obama FBI and DOJ in the Steele dossier matter¹¹⁸ and the subsequent lying to a FISA court judge that took place by certain government law enforcement officials, during the investigation on Donald Trump. That document was fabricated for the sole purpose of illegally spying on his associates, campaign, and the Trump presidential administration.¹¹⁹

Knowing all of these facts, and seeing the obvious Deep State connections involved, why would anyone still express any doubt whatsoever that some of the very same Deep State players might also have constructed a fake birth certificate in order to prop up an ineligible holder of the office of the President of the United States? Especially if those same operatives thought they had the mainstream media in their back pockets - and particularly if they knew they themselves were culpable in the matter of buttressing a man

who didn't possess proper birth documents? Of course they **would**. And, apparently, they did.

John Brennan's CIA Connection

Then, in yet another stunning revelation it was reported that under the John Brennan years as the director of the CIA, there may have been nefarious involvement by the agency in the actual birth certificate saga.¹²⁰

But that wasn't the only publicly voiced suspicion of John Brennan's potential key roles in certain Deep State matters of highly questionable intrigue. Even *Newsweek* ran a 2019 article with the title: "Republicans claim John Brennan was the 'evil force' behind the Intel's use of Steele dossier": They tried to bring down a sitting president."¹²¹

Could it be that our own CIA, assisted by other Deep State players, would be involved in such atrocities? Was there really an attempted coup of Donald Trump's presidency? If so, did it have anything at all to do with Trump's involvement in Obama's birth-certificate fraud – and his present ability to expose the truth of the matter, as numerous other Deep State ploys?

A Coup Attempt?

Victor David Hansen is a senior fellow in military history at the Hoover Institution at Stanford University and a Professor Emeritus of Classics at California State University, Fresno. He is the author of more than two dozen books, ranging in topics from ancient Greece to Modern America, most recently *The Case For Trump*.

In late November 2019, Hansen wrote a piece for Fox News in which he made his case for a genuine, attempted coup against President Trump. Following are a few excerpts from that telling article:

Trump's critics have also radically changed their spin on "coups." To them, "coup" is no longer a dirty word trafficked in

by right-winged conspiracists. Instead, it has been normalized as a possibly legitimate **means of aborting the Trump presidency.**

Mark Zaid, the attorney representing the Ukraine whistleblower, boasted in two recently discovered tweets of ongoing efforts **to stage a coup to remove Trump.**

“#coup has started. First of many steps. #rebellion. #impeachment will follow,” Zaid tweeted in January 2017.

Retired Admiral Williams H. McRaven recently wrote an op-ed for THE NEW YORK TIMES all but **calling for Trump’s ouster – “The sooner the better.”**

No sooner had Trump been elected then Rosa Brooks, a former Defense Department official during the Obama Administration, wrote an essay for FOREIGN POLICY magazine discussing theoretical ways to remove Trump before the 2020 election, among them **a scenario involving a military coup.**

In September 2018, THE NEW YORK TIMES published an op-ed from an anonymous White House official who boasted of supposedly **wide scale efforts inside the Trump administration to nullify its operations and subvert presidential directives.**¹²² (Emphasis added)

One must also consider the fact that even after the failure of the *Mueller Report* to “bring down” President Trump, that report was almost immediately followed by the Trump impeachment proceedings. As of this writing, that inquest is still underway.

In the last days of the November 2019 impeachment hearings, Victor Davis Hansen had this to say about the fiasco:

On the first day of the impeachment inquiry, HOUSE INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN ADAM SCHIFF D-CALIF, called his initial two witnesses, career State Department diplomats William Taylor Jr. and George Kent. Far from providing damning evidence of criminal presidential behavior, Taylor and Kent mostly confined to themselves to three topics: their own sterling resumes, their lack of any first hand knowledge of incriminating Trump actions, and their poorly hidden disgust with the manner and substance of Trump's foreign policy.¹²³

Was an impeachment inquiry just one more Deep State “insurance policy,” poised to be enacted in case the Mueller investigation failed to produce the desired results? After reading the preceding pages of this chapter, what would be *your* best guess?

One might call these kinds of conjectures mere “conspiracy theory.” I call the process *critical thinking* – and not being afraid to ask the obvious questions, ones that the mainstream media refuses to ask.

Fact #12 - This is Not Over

My, my. How much deeper can this get?

Think of the ramifications of what we have uncovered and documented thus far. Since it now appears, beyond any reasonable doubt, that the birth certificate is a forensically proven forgery – from the ground up – we have to ask the million dollar question. *Why?*

Why would a sitting U.S. President and/or the people around him feel the need to fabricate a fake document of identification?

In reality, there are only a few plausible answers. And none of them are good ones. The most obvious response is that Obama simply doesn't possess a legitimate United States birth certificate. In that case, he and everyone who assisted him in the ruse are complicit in the biggest act of treason ever perpetrated upon this nation. And, in that case, the office of the

Commander-in-Chief of the world's largest nuclear arsenal and the number-one hyperpower on the planet was usurped by an undocumented alien.

The only other genuine options are these. One of them might be that Barack Obama has a legitimate birth certificate, but there's a piece of information on that certificate that he did not want, and still doesn't want, the American public to see.

The other scenario might be that he *does* have a very legitimate birth certificate, with all the correct information on it, none of which he originally wished to hide from anyone. However, he simply decided he would run a scam on the American people for the purpose of sheer political obfuscation - and for the goal of giving him and his supporters the opportunity to make the conservatives who thought otherwise look like a bunch of fools.

The problem with any of those options, as insidious as any one of them might sound, is that they still leaves Obama and all the culpable around him vulnerable to several federal crimes. Those felonies would at least include the production and subsequent presentation of fabricated and forged identifying documents - no matter what the reason - and then posting them on a government website – *the White House website*.

Do you see what I mean?

There simply isn't a good reason for this crime to have been committed. Now perhaps you have a better feel for why the Deep State players have been so revved up over this issue – for years.

I assure you, within this one chapter we've only begun to peel back the numerous onion skin layers of the entire story. There are some elements of this story that are already public, but were just too detailed to include in this chapter. And yet other specifics of the investigation have still never been released to the nation. Perhaps that information will ultimately be disclosed within the context of a legitimate legal hearing, at the federal level, and relatively free of Deep State sway.

Just a few weeks before I finished writing this chapter, I was talking on the phone with former Sheriff Joe Arpaio. I was preparing to go on the radio that very afternoon to host my own program.

Joe Arpaio told me, “Carl, tell your audience, this is not over.” Tell them that I am continually sending important information over to the United States Attorney General. I assure you Carl, *this is not over*. That’s all I can say right now.”

I believe him.

Copyright by Carl Gallups & Defender Publishing

Disclaimer

All the information in this chapter pursuant to the MCSO Obama birth-certificate investigation is a matter of public record and has been widely reported by several public media sources and on the author’s own radio programs – as is clearly indicated by the references listed in the *endnotes*. Absolutely no confidential information that has not already been released to the public has been reproduced in this chapter.

carlgallups.com/obcvid

¹ Office of the Press Secretary, “Weekly Address: President Obama Challenges Politicians Benefiting from Citizens United Ruling to Defend Corporate Influence in Our Elections,” 8-21-2010,

“<https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2010/08/21/weekly-address-president-obama-challenges-politicians-benefiting-citizen>.”

² Pfeiffer, Dan. “President Obama's Long Form Birth Certificate,” Accessed 6-24-19,

<https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-birth-certificate>.

³ A seven-minute synopsis video of the visual evidence of how the Obama certificate was digitally forged is available here:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8frqVINnQjc>.

See the full one-hour third and final MCSO Press Conference here:

“WOW: Sheriff Joe Arpaio Releases New Information on President Obama's Birth Certificate (FNN),” Fox 10 Phoenix, YouTube, posted 12-15-16, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jk3KRxTfkLM>.

⁴ Hananoki, Eric. “Dobbs repeatedly makes Obama birth certificate claims his CNN colleagues call “total bull,” Media Matters, 7-17-09, <https://www.mediamatters.org/research/2009/07/17/dobbs-repeatedly-makes-obama-birth-certificate/152170>.

⁵ Lou Dobbs was eventually terminated at CNN. In large part his termination was due to his engaging in the dreaded “birther movement.” Dobbs is just one example of how the mainstream media eventually shut down all reporting on the Obama birth narrative and birth certificate fakery.

Folkenflik, David. “What's Behind Lou Dobbs' Leaving CNN?” (The Birther Issue), NPR, 11-12-09, <https://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=120351492>.

⁶ Ashley Parker and Steve Eder. “Inside the Six Weeks Donald Trump Was a Nonstop ‘Birther’,” The New York Times, 7-2-16, <https://www.nytimes.com/2016/07/03/us/politics/donald-trump-birther-obama.html>.

⁷ Prokop, Andrew. "Trump fanned a conspiracy about Obama's birthplace for years. Now he pretends Clinton started it." VOX, 9-16-16, <https://www.vox.com/2016/9/16/12938066/donald-trump-obama-birth-certificate-birther>.

⁸ **Deep State**

"The term was originally coined in a somewhat pejorative sense to refer to similar relatively invisible state apparatus in Turkey... "With respect to the United States, the concept has been discussed in numerous published works ... Allegedly, per George Friedman, the Deep State has been in place since 1871 and continues beneath the federal government, controlling and frequently reshaping policies." See: "Deep State in the United States," Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deep_state_in_the_United_States.

⁹ Murse, Tom. "President Obama's First Executive Order Did the President Really Seal His Own Personal Records?" Updated 5-25-19, <https://www.thoughtco.com/president-obamas-first-executive-order-3322189>.

¹⁰ **The Big Lie** - is a propaganda technique and logical trick (fallacy). The expression was coined by Adolf Hitler, when he dictated his 1925 book *Mein Kampf*, about the use of a lie so "colossal" that no one would believe that someone "could have the impudence to distort the truth so infamously." The source of the big lie technique is in a particular passage, taken from Chapter 10 of James Murphy's translation of *Mein Kampf*. (Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, vol. I, ch. X).

See: Big Lie: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Big_lie.

¹¹ Gardner, Daniel. "How real is 'fake news,' and who coined the phrase? Not who you think." *Clarion Ledger*, 7-31-18, <https://www.clarionledger.com/story/opinion/columnists/2018/07/31/who-first-used-fake-news-phrase-not-donald-trump/864396002>.

¹² Darrah, Nichole. "Who is Joe Arpaio? A look at the Arizona ex-sheriff," *Fox News*, 1-10-18, <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/who-is-joe-arpaio-a-look-at-the-arizona-ex-sheriff>.

¹³ **Sheriff Arpaio's first Obama Birth Certificate Press Conference** (March 2012) can be viewed here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XWmWO18GTc8>.

Sheriff Arpaio's Second Obama Birth Certificate Press Conference (July 2012) can be viewed here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aIVzyfptF80>.

¹⁴ See the entire 1-hour official Dec. 2016 press conference here:
“WOW: Sheriff Joe Arpaio Releases New Information on President Obama's Birth Certificate (FNN),” Fox 10 Phoenix, YouTube, posted 12-15-16,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jk3KRxTfkLM>.

This video was filmed by local Phoenix, Arizona Fox News affiliate. However, the national Fox News network not only never showed it – they never even mentioned it. When you watch it in full – you’ll know why.

A seven-minute synopsis video of the visual evidence of how the Obama certificate was digitally forged is available here:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8frqVINnQjc>.

¹⁵ Cassidy, Megan. “Sheriff Joe Arpaio renews birther claims about Obama's birth certificate,” USA Today, 12-15-16,
<https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation-now/2016/12/15/sheriff-joe-arpaio-probe-proves-obama-birth-certificate-fake/95500958>.

¹⁶ To witness the effect that this jaw-dropping presentation had on certain Fox affiliate media reporter, as the conference unfolded live before their eyes, watch this 7 minute video. You will be shocked by the reporter’s analysis of what she was witnessing.

“FOX NEWS REPORTER Gets Obama Birth Certificate FRAUD Correct!”
PNN News and Ministry Network, posted 1-28-17,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RbWdm5n3PsA>.

¹⁷ Greenburg, John. “Did Hillary Clinton start the Obama birther movement?”
Politifact, 9-23-15,
<https://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/statements/2015/sep/23/donald-trump/hillary-clinton-obama-birther-fact-check>.

¹⁸ Jake Tapper, Casey Capachi and Jeremy Harlan. “Hillary Clinton was not a Birther,” CNN, 5-6-16, <https://www.cnn.com/2016/05/06/politics/fact-check-donald-trump-claims-that-hillary-clinton-started-birther-movement/index.html>.

From the article: ...

“On March 19, 2007, then-Clinton adviser Mark Penn wrote a strategy memo to Clinton about Obama. It did not raise the issue of Obama's citizenship. But it did

identify Obama's "lack of American roots" as something that "could hold him back.

What we see in 2008 from Clinton is a subtle stoking of questions about Obama's identity ... Bottom line: Clinton stoked questions about Obama's identity. But Clinton herself never questioned Obama's birth certificate."

Author's Note: Of course there never was a question about Hillary questioning the actual birth certificate. The clear admission of CNN's Tapper here is that Hillary herself was involved in "stoking questions about Obama's identity." This goes directly to the entire birther movement. A stunning admission on the part of CNN – ironically done while vehemently attempting to "exonerate" her.

¹⁹ Xuan Thai and Ted Barrett. "Biden's description of Obama draws scrutiny," CNN, 2-9-07, <http://www.cnn.com/2007/POLITICS/01/31/biden.obama>.

²⁰ Pollack, Joel. "The Vetting – Exclusive – Obama's Literary Agent in 1991 Booklet: 'Born in Kenya and raised in Indonesia and Hawaii'," Breitbart News, 5-17-12, <https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2012/05/17/the-vetting-barack-obama-literary-agent-1991-born-in-kenya-raised-in-indonesia-hawaii>.

Author's Note: I have often made the point that this publicist's bio statement does not "prove" Obama was born in Kenya. But, being an author of numerous bestselling books myself, I know for a fact that an author's bio must be reviewed and approved and sometimes even written by the author himself before it goes to print. The fact that Obama's bio stated he was born in Kenya, in his "official" bio, and existed in those words for 17 years, tells me that either he was in fact born in Kenya – or for seventeen years he allowed the known incorrect information to proliferate solely for his benefit. Neither of these scenarios bode well for Obama's character. However, this document does *prove* that Obama himself was the very first birther.

²¹ Ibid. Pollack, Joel. "The Vetting – Exclusive – Obama's Literary Agent in 1991 Booklet: 'Born in Kenya and raised in Indonesia and Hawaii'".

²² Pinter, Jason. "Obama's Literary Agency Error: A Clarification and Rebuttal," Huffington Post, 5/22/2012 Updated Dec 06, 2017, https://www.huffpost.com/entry/obama-birthplace_b_1530399.

²³ Green, Joshua. "Penn Strategy Memo, March 19, 2007," The Atlantic, 8-11-08, Accessed June 24, 2019, <https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2008/08/penn-strategy-memo-march-19-2008/37952>.

²⁴ Hemingway, Mark. “Someone Isn’t Telling the Truth about Sidney Blumenthal and the Clinton Campaign,” The Weekly Standard, 9-20-16, <https://www.weeklystandard.com/mark-hemingway/someone-isnt-telling-the-truth-about-sidney-blumenthal-and-the-clinton-campaign>.

²⁵ Jake Tapper, Casey Capachi and Jeremy Harlan. “Hillary Clinton was not a Birther,” CNN, 5-6-16, <https://www.cnn.com/2016/05/06/politics/fact-check-donald-trump-claims-that-hillary-clinton-started-birther-movement/index.html>.

²⁶ Ibid. Green, Joshua. “Penn Strategy Memo, March 19, 2007.”

²⁷ The McClatchy Company... operates 29 daily newspapers in fourteen states and has an average weekday circulation of 1.6 million and Sunday circulation of 2.4 million.[1] In 2006, it purchased Knight Ridder, which at the time was the second-largest newspaper company in the United States (Gannett was and remains the largest). In addition to its daily newspapers, McClatchy also operates several websites and community papers, as well as a news agency, McClatchyDC, focused on political news from Washington, D.C.

See: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/McClatchy>.

See: <https://mediabiasfactcheck.com/mcclatchydc>.

²⁸ James Asher is an award winning investigative journalist and winner of the 2017 Pulitzer Prize in journalism for explanatory reporting. Four other investigations he directed were Pulitzer finalists.

See: <https://www.ap.org/ap-in-the-news/2017/ap-names-asher-to-news-editor-position-in-washington>.

²⁹ Ibid. Hemingway, Mark. “Someone Isn’t Telling the Truth about Sidney Blumenthal and the Clinton Campaign.”

³⁰ MSNBC, “Hardball with Chris Matthews – for Dec. 18,” updated 12/19/2007 11:14:09 AM ET, http://www.nbcnews.com/id/22326842/ns/msnbc-hardball_with_chris_matthews/t/hardball-chris-matthews-dec/#.XQ6jGehKjIW.

Author’s Note: The transcript is lengthy. To find this particular quote one will have to go almost to the end of the article. I suggest simply doing a webpage “word search” at the link above, by putting the first words of the quote in the search engine (CTRL-F). I have archived a screen shot of the page at its original URL, and the Matthews’ comment, in case the page, or the quote, happens to disappear.

³¹ Video File - *MrFortheloveoftruth*. "Hillary Clinton - gives birth to the birther's in 2007 as per Morning Joe Scarborough," YouTube video of the actual MSNBC broadcast – posted 9-16-2016, accessed June 24, 2019, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-lnOqecl-wc>.

Author's note: In this video portion of Morning Joe on MSNBC, Scarborough, Brzezinski, and Heilemann – several times are emphatic that the "whole thing" (Birther movement) "started with Hillary Clinton."

Audio File of the same show - http://adam.curry.com/enc/1474227883.158_morningjoeandmikaremindeveryonewhoactuallybeganbirtherism-may2016.mp3. Accessed June 24, 2019.

³² Riddell, Kelly. "Classic Clinton doublespeak," The Washington Times, 5-26-16, <https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2016/may/26/kelly-riddell-classic-clinton-doublespeak>.

³³ Boehlert, Eric. "Hillary Clinton, 60 Minutes, and the Muslim question," Media Matters, 3-11-08, <https://www.mediamatters.org/research/2008/03/11/hillary-clinton-60-minutes-and-the-muslim-quest/142844>.

³⁴ "Media Matters for America," accessed 6-24-19, https://www.conservapedia.com/Media_Matters_for_America#cite_ref-4.

³⁵ PND. "Media Matters Receives \$1 Million from George Soros," Philanthropy News Digest, 10-22-10, <https://www.philanthropynewsdigest.org/news/media-matters-receives-1-million-from-george-soros>.

³⁶ Eileen McGuire-Mahony. "Governor Abercrombie's inability to release Obama's birth certificate causes Hawaiian legislators to step in," Ballotpedia, 1-28-11, https://ballotpedia.org/Governor_Abercrombie%27s_inability_to_release_Obama%27s_birth_certificate_causes_Hawaiian_legislators_to_step_in.

³⁷ Hot Air. "New Hawaii Governor: We're Going To Put A Stop To This Birther Crap Once And For All," 12-27-10, <https://hotair.com/archives/2010/12/27/new-hawaii-governor-were-going-to-put-a-stop-to-this-birther-crap-once-and-for-all>.

³⁸ Meghan Ashford-Grooms , Ciara O'Rourke. "State Rep. Leo Berman says Hawaii governor can't find anything that says Obama was born in Hawaii,"

Politifact, 2-27-11, <https://www.politifact.com/texas/statements/2011/feb/27/leo-berman/state-rep-leo-berman-says-hawaii-governor-cant-fin>.

³⁹ Ibid. Meghan Ashford-Grooms , Ciara O'Rourke. "State Rep. Leo Berman says Hawaii governor can't find anything that says Obama was born in Hawaii."

⁴⁰ Ibid. Ibid. Meghan Ashford-Grooms , Ciara O'Rourke. "State Rep. Leo Berman says Hawaii governor can't find anything that says Obama was born in Hawaii."

⁴¹ Mike Evans' original claim about Abercrombie:
Bigone5555J "Abercrombie Admits There Are No Obama Birth Records In Hawaii," YouTube video, posted 1-24-11,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hvr7YqdvxE>.

Mike Evans walks his entire story back:
Bigone5555J "Mike Evans Back Tracks on Birth Certificate Story," YouTube video, posted 1-24-11, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4lkYK_QcqHY.

Author's note: I possess both of these original interviews in my archives.

⁴² PBS News Hour. "Trump defends years of Obama 'birther' rumors," YouTube video, 9-26-16
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KjU6wZgyLh8&t=34s>.

⁴³ Cheney, Kyle. "No, Clinton didn't start the birther thing. This guy did," Politico, Sept. 16, 2019,
<https://www.politico.com/story/2016/09/birther-movement-founder-trump-clinton-228304>.

⁴⁴ McAskil, Ewen. "Clinton aides claim Obama photo wasn't intended as a smear," The Guardian, 2-25-08,
<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2008/feb/25/barackobama.hillaryclinton>.

⁴⁵ Ibid. Cheney, Kyle. "No, Clinton didn't start the birther thing. This guy did."

⁴⁶ Hemingway, Mark. "Someone Isn't Telling the Truth about Sidney Blumenthal and the Clinton Campaign," The Weekly Standard, 9-20-16,
<https://www.weeklystandard.com/mark-hemingway/someone-isnt-telling-the-truth-about-sidney-blumenthal-and-the-clinton-campaign>.

⁴⁷ Jake Tapper, Casey Capachi and Jeremy Harlan. “Hillary Clinton was not a Birther,” CNN, 5-6-16, <https://www.cnn.com/2016/05/06/politics/fact-check-donald-trump-claims-that-hillary-clinton-started-birther-movement/index.html>.

⁴⁸ Rondeau, Sharon. “Maj. Gen. Paul E. Vallely: We need to demand resignations of Obama, his cabinet, and members of Congress,” Post & Email, 6-16-10, <https://www.thepostemail.com/2010/06/16/maj-gen-paul-e-vallely-we-need-to-demand-resignations-of-obama-his-cabinet-and-members-of-congress>.

⁴⁹ Linkins, Jason. “Fox News Military Analyst Comes Out As Birther,” Huffington Post, 9-1-10, https://www.huffpost.com/entry/fox-news-military-analyst_n_702516.

⁵⁰ Kenber, Billy. “More than Bradley Manning's fate lies with Judge Denise Lind ...” Washington Post, 7-24-13, accessed 7-5-19, https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/more-than-bradley-mannings-fate-lies-with-judge-denise-lind-in-case-about-leaking-info/2013/07/24/fb546d14-f496-11e2-aa2e-4088616498b4_story.html?utm_term=.8036e9ea1841.

⁵¹ Wikipedia. “Court-martial of Terry Lakin,” Accessed July 5, 2019, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Court-martial_of_Terry_Lakin.

⁵² WND, “From A to Z: What's wrong with Obama's birth certificate?” 5-13-11, <https://www.wnd.com/2011/05/296881>.

See also:

Birther Report YouTube video audio interview with General Paul Vallely - “Major General Vallely: CIA Agents Say Obama Birth Certificate Fraudulent” Posted 6/13/11, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jTMv2XAUHP4>.

⁵³ Abbott, Matt C. “Professor Charles Rice on Obama's 'eligibility' – by Dr. Charles E. Rice,” Renew America, 2-27-11, <http://www.renewamerica.com/columns/abbott/110227>.

⁵⁴ Bowden, John. “Arpaio says he will resume challenging Obama's birth certificate if elected,” The Hill, 3-27-18, <https://thehill.com/homenews/campaign/380533-arpaio-says-he-will-resume-attacking-obamas-birth-certificate-if-elected>.

⁵⁵ Azcentral. “Sheriff Joe Arpaio: I'm still investigating President Barack Obama's birth certificate,” 9-20-16,

<https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/politics/arizona/2016/09/21/arizona-joe-arpaio-still-investigating-obamas-birth-certificate/90743412>.

⁵⁶ Cassidy, Megan. "Sheriff Joe Arpaio renews birther claims about Obama's birth certificate," USA Today, 12-15-16, <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation-now/2016/12/15/sheriff-joe-arpaio-probe-proves-obama-birth-certificate-fake/95500958/>.

⁵⁷ CNN. "Arpaio: Obama's birth certificate a phony," YouTube video, posted 1-10-18, accessed 6-29-19, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PFfY6_hcfXk.

⁵⁸ Farley, Robert. "Obama's birth certificate: Final chapter. This time we mean it!," Politifact, 7-1-2009, <https://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/article/2009/jul/01/obamas-birth-certificate-final-chapter-time-we-mea>.

⁵⁹ Rondeau, Sharon. "Attention Bill O'Reilly: The Rest of the Story," Post & Email, 4-4-11, <https://www.thepostemail.com/2011/04/04/attention-bill-oreilly-the-rest-of-the-story>.

⁶⁰ Ibid. Rondeau, Sharon. "Attention Bill O'Reilly: The Rest of the Story."

⁶¹ Treason. Dictionary.com, Accessed July 3, 2019, <https://www.dictionary.com/browse/treason>.

⁶² Stucky, Philip. "Biden: 'Not One Single Whisper Of Scandal' During Obama Administration," Daily Caller, 4-26-19, <https://dailycaller.com/2019/04/26/biden-no-scandal-obama-administration>.

⁶³ Office of the Press Secretary, "Weekly Address: President Obama Challenges Politicians Benefiting from Citizens United Ruling to Defend Corporate Influence in Our Elections," 8-21-2010, <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2010/08/21/weekly-address-president-obama-challenges-politicians-benefiting-citizen>.

⁶⁴ Martin, Nick R. "Joe Arpaio's Birther Obsession Just Keeps Getting Stranger," Talking Points Memo, 7-19-12, <https://talkingpointsmemo.com/muckraker/joe-arpaio-s-birther-obsession-just-keeps-getting-stranger>.

⁶⁵ Murse, Tom. "President Obama's First Executive Order Did the President Really Seal His Own Personal Records?" Updated 5-25-19, <https://www.thoughtco.com/president-obamas-first-executive-order-3322189>.

⁶⁶ Executive Order 13489 - <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2009-01-26/pdf/E9-1712.pdf>.

⁶⁷ Ibid. Murse, Tom. "President Obama's First Executive Order Did the President Really Seal His Own Personal Records?"

⁶⁸ CBS News, "Obama admin spent \$36M on lawsuits to keep info secret," Updated 3-14-17, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/obama-administration-spent-36m-on-records-lawsuits-last-year>.

⁶⁹ NARA website. "Unauthorized Disposition of Federal Records," Accessed 6-29-19, <https://www.archives.gov/records-mgmt/resources/unauthorizeddispositionoffederalrecords>.

⁷⁰ Lipscomb, Thomas. "Crisis at the National Archives," Real Clear Politics, June 6, 2018, https://www.realclearpolitics.com/articles/2018/06/10/crisis_at_the_national_archives_137241.html.

⁷¹ Rondeau, Sharon. "Zullo Recaps Missing Records from National Archives the Week of Obama's "Birth"," The Post & Email, 6-17-18, <https://www.thepostemail.com/2018/06/17/zullo-recaps-missing-records-from-national-archives-the-week-of-obamas-birth>.

⁷² Birther Report YouTube video audio interview with Major General Paul Vallely - "Major General Vallely: CIA Agents Say Obama Birth Certificate Fraudulent" Posted 6/13/11, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jTMv2XAUHP4>.

⁷³ Pfeiffer, Dan. "President Obama's Long Form Birth Certificate," Accessed 6-24-19, <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/blog/2011/04/27/president-obamas-long-form-birth-certificate>.

⁷⁴ Azcentral. "Sheriff Joe Arpaio: I'm still investigating President Barack Obama's birth certificate," 9-20-16, <https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/politics/arizona/2016/09/21/arizona-joe-arpaio-still-investigating-obamas-birth-certificate/90743412>.

⁷⁵ Ibid. Cassidy, Megan. "Sheriff Joe Arpaio renews birther claims about Obama's birth certificate."

⁷⁶ Ibid. Murse, Tom. “President Obama's First Executive Order Did the President Really Seal His Own Personal Records?”

⁷⁷ Ibid. Rondeau, Sharon. “Mike Zullo Describes “Collusion with Media Executives”.

⁷⁸ Philip Rucker and Ellen Nakashima. “Trump asked Sessions about closing case against Arpaio, an ally since 'birtherism'”, Chicago Tribune, 8-26-17, <https://www.chicagotribune.com/nation-world/ct-trump-sessions-arpaio-case-20170826-story.html>.

⁷⁹ Cassidy, Megan. “Maricopa County Sheriff Joe Arpaio officially charged with criminal contempt,” AZ Central, 10-25-16, <https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/local/phoenix/2016/10/25/maricopa-county-sheriff-joe-arpaio-officially-charged-criminal-contempt/92472998>.

⁸⁰ Attested to at the 1:24:50 mark in this interview. “[1330 AM | 99.1 FM] Freedom Friday 6-29-19 | BREAKING NEWS! Mike Zullo (whole show) New Intrigue surrounding CIA informant Dennis Montgomery,” internationally live-broadcast radio show. Podcast posted 6-29-19, <https://carlgallups.blogspot.com/2019/06/1330-am-991-fm-freedom-friday-6-29-19.html>.

⁸¹ Kevin Liptak, Daniella Diaz and Sophie Tatum. “Trump pardons former Sheriff Joe Arpaio,” CNN, 8-27-17, <https://www.cnn.com/2017/08/25/politics/sheriff-joe-arpaio-donald-trump-pardon/index.html>.

⁸² Beamon, Todd. “WashPost: Trump Asked AG Jeff Sessions to Drop Joe Arpaio Case,” Newsmax.com, 8-26-17, <https://www.newsmax.com/newsfront/joe-arpaio-jeff-sessions-trump-sessions/2017/08/26/id/809989>.

⁸³ Lauter, David. “One last look at the polls: Hillary Clinton's lead is holding steady,” Los Angeles Times, 11-8-16, <https://www.latimes.com/nation/politics/trailguide/la-na-election-day-2016-a-last-look-at-the-polls-clinton-lead-1478618744.htmlstory.html>,

⁸⁴ Ortiz, Erik. “Trump Kept ‘Birther’ Beliefs Going Long After Obama’s Birth Certificate Was Released,” NBC News, 9-16-16, <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/2016-election/trump-kept-birther-beliefs-going-long-after-obama-s-birth-n649346>.

Note: The birth certificate was eventually posted in April 2011. Donald Trump had been prodding Obama in the matter at least since late 2010.

⁸⁵ Hawaii News Now, “Trump, Abercrombie spar over “birther” issue,” April 19, 2011 at 11:16 PM HST - Updated June 23, <https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/story/14479029/trump-abercrombie-spar-over-birther-issue>.

⁸⁶ O’Conner, Claire. “Donald Trump Tells Forbes Why He’s Offering \$5 Million For Obama’s Records,” Forbes, 10-24-12, <https://www.forbes.com/sites/clareoconnor/2012/10/24/trump-tells-forbes-why-hes-offered-5-million-for-obamas-records/#14f74d493e9a>.

⁸⁷ Daniel Chaitin. “Trey Gowdy blasts Peter Strzok’s ‘source’ behind the ‘insurance policy’,” The Washington Examiner, 3-14-19, <https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/trey-gowdy-blasts-peter-strzoks-source-behind-the-insurance-policy>.

⁸⁸ Actually, we now know that the Comey FBI had already launched a Russia probe investigation into Trump and his campaign as early as July 2016, four full months before the actual presidential election. Could the now infamous FBI Peter Strzok’s “insurance policy” have been put into motion at about that time?

Matishak, Martin. “Comey: FBI launched Trump-Russia probe in July,” Politico, 3-20-17, <https://www.politico.com/story/2017/03/fbi-trump-russia-probe-timeline-236258>.

⁸⁹ Schwab, Nikki. “Trump says biggest regret was appointing Jeff Sessions,” New York Post, 6-23-19, <https://nypost.com/2019/06/23/trump-says-biggest-regret-was-appointing-jeff-sessions>.

⁹⁰ Solomon, John. “The case for Russia collusion ... against the Democrats,” The Hill, 2-10-19, <https://thehill.com/opinion/white-house/429292-the-case-for-russia-collusion-against-the-democrats>.

See Also:

L. Brent Bozell III and Tim Graham, “How The Media Covered Up The Real Collusion, Between Russians And The Hillary Campaign,” Daily Caller, 6-4-19, <https://dailycaller.com/2019/06/04/how-the-media-covered-up-the-real-collusion-between-russians-and-the-hillary-campaign>.

⁹¹ Davis, Sean. “The Only 2016 Campaign That Deliberately Colluded with Russians Was Hillary Clinton’s,” The Federalist, 3-28-19,

<https://thefederalist.com/2019/03/28/campaign-colluded-russians-2016-hillary-clintons>.

⁹² Hains, Tim. "Trump: Mueller Probe Was Strzok And Page's 'Insurance Policy,' Comey Should 'Come Clean'," Real Clear Politics, 5-30-19, https://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2019/05/30/trump_mueller_probe_was_strzok_and_pages_insurance_policy_comey_should_come_clean.html.

⁹³ Solomon, John. "Lisa Page bombshell: FBI couldn't prove Trump-Russia collusion before Mueller appointment," The Hill, 9-16-18, <https://thehill.com/hilltv/rising/406881-lisa-page-bombshell-fbi-couldnt-prove-trump-russia-collusion-before-mueller>.

⁹⁴ Ibid. Daniel Chaitin. "Trey Gowdy blasts Peter Strzok's 'source' behind the 'insurance policy'".

⁹⁵ The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica. "Robert Mueller AMERICAN LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL," Encyclopaedia Britannica, Accessed June 24, 2019, <https://www.britannica.com/biography/Robert-Mueller>.

⁹⁶ Solomon, John. "FISA shocker: DOJ official warned Steele dossier was connected to Clinton, might be biased," The Hill, 1-16-19, <https://thehill.com/opinion/white-house/425739-fisa-shocker-doj-official-warned-steele-dossier-was-connected-to-clinton>.

⁹⁷ Reid, Paula. "James Comey calls Trump 'morally unfit'," CBS News, 4-16-19, <https://www.cbsnews.com/video/james-comey-says-trump-is-morally-unfit-to-be-president>.

⁹⁸ YouTube video of CNN Broadcast. "7-22-18 Clapper Says Obama Was behind the Whole Thing," posted 7-22-18, accessed 6-25-19. https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=1&v=DpwUr_JFXYY.

⁹⁹ Schwartz, Ian. "Clapper: Obama Ordered The Intelligence Assessment That Resulted In Mueller Investigation," Real Clear Politics, 7-24-18, https://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2018/07/24/clapper_obama_ordered_the_intelligence_assessment_that_resulted_in_mueller_investigation.html.

¹⁰⁰ Truax, Chris. "Trump-Mueller showdown will be a historic test of America's institutions and rule of law," USA Today, 12-10-18, <https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2018/12/10/sentencing-memo-flynn-cohen-manafort-mueller-donald-trump-russia-column/2257509002>.

¹⁰¹ Glasser, Susan B. “This Is Not a Normal Time”: Trump and the Rapidly Expanding “Witch Hunt,” The New Yorker, 12-14-28,
<https://www.newyorker.com/news/letter-from-trumps-washington/this-is-not-a-normal-time-trump-and-the-rapidly-expanding-witch-hunt>.

¹⁰² Ian Prasad Philbrick. “The Articles of Impeachment Against Donald J. Trump: A Draft,” New York Times, 6-5-19,
<https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2019/06/05/opinion/impeachment-trump-democrats-nixon-clinton.html>.

¹⁰³ Bart Jansen and Kevin Johnson. “Robert Mueller, in first public remarks, says charging Trump was ‘not an option we could consider,’” USA Today, 5-29-19,
<https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2019/05/29/robert-mueller-speak-russia-investigation-and-2016-election/1269060001>.

¹⁰⁴ Sperry, Paul. “Who Were the Mueller Report's Hired Guns?”, Real Clear Politics, 5-11-19,
https://www.realclearpolitics.com/articles/2019/05/11/who_were_the_mueller_reports_hired_guns_140289.html.

Also See: Scarborough, Rowan. “How much did the FBI rely on a discredited Trump-Russia dossier?” Washington Times, 6-2-19,
<https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2019/jun/2/william-barr-seeks-dossiers-influence-fbis-trump-r/>.

¹⁰⁵ Solomon, John. “FISA shocker: DOJ official warned Steele dossier was connected to Clinton, might be biased,” The Hill, 1-16-19,
<https://thehill.com/opinion/white-house/425739-fisa-shocker-doj-official-warned-steele-dossier-was-connected-to-clinton>.

¹⁰⁶ Rondeau, Sharon. “Government Spying: How High Does it Go?” Post & Email, 3-2-17.
<https://www.thepostemail.com/2017/03/02/government-spying-high-go/>.

¹⁰⁷ See the entire 1-hour official Dec. 2016 press conference here:
“WOW: Sheriff Joe Arpaio Releases New Information on President Obama's Birth Certificate (FNN),” Fox 10 Phoenix, YouTube, posted 12-15-16,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jk3KRxTfkLM>.

¹⁰⁸ A seven-minute synopsis video of the visual evidence of how the Obama certificate was digitally forged is available here:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8frqVINnQjc>.

¹⁰⁹ The two examination companies involved were

1. *FORLAB Multimedia Forensics Laboratory* in Prato, Italy.
<https://www.forlab.org/en>.

2. *Reed Hayes Handwriting and Document Examiner* – Honolulu, Hawaii.
<http://www.reedwrite.com>.

¹¹⁰ Hayes, Reed. “Decision to Examine the Obama Certificate of Live Birth,” Reed Hayes Handwriting and Document Examiner, 8-3-16, accessed June 25, 2019, <http://www.reedwrite.com/index.php/2016/08/03/obama-birth-certificate>.

¹¹¹ The originally posted Obama PDF purporting to be a copy of his genuine birth certificate on file at the Hawaii Department of Health. Accessed June 26, 2019, https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/rss_viewer/birth-certificate.pdf.

¹¹² **Perkins Coie** is counsel of record for the Democratic National Committee, Democratic Leadership Council, the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee, and the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee. Other political clients include nearly all Democratic members of the United States Congress. It has also represented several presidential campaigns, including those of John Kerry, Barack Obama, and Hillary Clinton.
See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Perkins_Coie.

While I am not accusing Perkins Coie Law Firm of any legal wrong doing whatsoever, it is a matter of record that this law firm was directly involved in the delivery of the Obama “birth certificate” to the White House, as well as the legal handling of the Steele dossier. See below references for verification of these facts.

See: Washington Post, “Clinton campaign, DNC paid for research that led to Russia dossier,” 10-24-17,
https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/clinton-campaign-dnc-paid-for-research-that-led-to-russia-dossier/2017/10/24/226fabf0-b8e4-11e7-a908-a3470754bbb9_story.html?utm_term=.b60822b6ca91.

See: Legal Times. “Perkins Coie Got Obama's Birth Certificate,” 4-27-11,
<https://legaltimes.typepad.com/blt/2011/04/perkins-coie-judith-corley-got-obamas-birth-certificate.html>.

See: Canada Free Press, “President Trump and Perkins Coie,” 10-27-17, <https://canadafreepress.com/article/president-trump-and-perkins-coie>.

¹¹³ *The Blog of Legal Times*, “Perkins Coie Got Obama's Birth Certificate,” 4-27-2011, accessed June 25, 2019, <https://legaltimes.typepad.com/blt/2011/04/perkins-coie-judith-corley-got-obamas-birth-certificate.html>.

¹¹⁴ Admittedly, there were a few national “print media” outlets that covered the story – like the one by USA Today (see link below). However, that same piece, while talking *about* the “9 points of fraud” video – never showed that video, or examined the actual demonstrated points of fraud. In that regard, the USA Today piece actually turns out to be a non-report.

See: Cassidy, Megan. “Sheriff Joe Arpaio renews birther claims about Obama's birth certificate,” USA Today, 12-15-16, <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation-now/2016/12/15/sheriff-joe-arpaio-probe-proves-obama-birth-certificate-fake/95500958>.

¹¹⁵ Hawaii News Now. “Hawaii health director killed after plane crash had infant life vest,” May 5, 2016, <https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/story/31899587/ntsb-no-safety-briefing-given-prior-to-2013-molokai-plane-crash-that-killed-hawaii-health-director>.

¹¹⁶ Kissner, Jason. “Barack Hussein Soebarkah?” American Thinker, 1-22-14, https://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2014/01/barack_hussein_soebarkah.html.

¹¹⁷ Charles Creitz. “NY State Dem Chair: Trump 'dirt' comments 'whole different scenario' than Clinton campaign using Steele dossier,” Fox News, June 13, 2019, <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/trump-dirt-clinton-comments-different-tucker-carlson>.

¹¹⁸ McCarthy, Andrew. “The Steele Dossier and the ‘Verified Application’ That Wasn’t,” The National Review, 5-18-19, <https://www.nationalreview.com/2019/05/the-steele-dossier-and-the-verified-application-that-wasnt>.

¹¹⁹ Solomon, John. “Collusion bombshell: DNC lawyers met with FBI on Russia allegations before surveillance warrant,” The Hill, 10-3-2018, <https://thehill.com/hilltv/rising/409817-russia-collusion-bombshell-dnc-lawyers-met-with-fbi-on-dossier-before>.

¹²⁰ Rondeau, Sharon. “Zullo on Obama Birth Certificate Retraction, “Phony” Documents, Life Narrative,” Post & Email, 12-24-17, <https://www.thepostemail.com/2017/12/24/zullo-obama-birth-certificate-retraction-phony-documents-life-narrative>.

¹²¹ Hutzler, Alexandra. “Republicans claim John Brennan was the ‘evil force’ behind the Intel’s use of Steele dossier”: They tried to bring down a sitting president”, Newsweek, March 28, 2019, <https://www.newsweek.com/rand-paul-steele-dossier-john-brennan-1378437>.

¹²² Hansen, Victor Davis. “Victor Davis Hansen: Fear of a Deep State Coup Is Not Just Right-Wing Paranoia,” Fox News, 11-21-19, <https://www.foxnews.com/opinion/victor-davis-hansen-coup-concerns>.

¹²³ Ibid.